No. 1D/RKT/142-81/30612.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Devender Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Dairy Development Co-operative Federation Ltd., Gohana Road, Milk Plant, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO-(E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Devender Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/RTK/142-81/30619.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jage Ram and the management of M/s Haryana Dairy Development Co-operative Federation Ltd., Gohana Road, Milk Plant, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jage Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/RTK/142-81/30626.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sham Lal and the management of M/s. Haryana Dairy Development Co-operative Federation Ltd., Gohana Road, Milk Plant, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (l) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3861-ASO(E)-Lab-/70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government Notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Sham Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/RTK/142-81/30633.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ishwar Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Dairy Devlopment Co-oprative Federation Ltd., Gohana Road, Milk Plant, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-/70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ishwar Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled?